



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,664	11/25/2003	Joseph Patrick Burke	040053/QUALP837US	8086
70797	7590	02/23/2009	EXAMINER	
Amin, Turocy & Calvin LLP 127 Public Square 57th Floor, Key Tower Cleveland, OH 44114			CHAN, RICHARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com  
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com  
lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/723,664	BURKE ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
RICHARD CHAN	2618	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) RICHARD CHAN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Adam Slepcky (#61,170). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 February 2009

**Time:** 2PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 USC 102(b) anticipated by Ito (EP 1 089 578 A2)*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 9*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed rejection of independent claim 9.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Richard Chan/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2618

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)